

---

## **Appendix 5D : Project Evaluation Questionnaires and Ranking Tables**

---



**Part 1: Congestion Based Needs Assessment**

**Objective Congestion Management Evaluation**

**E**

**Question 1: Congested Corridors**

Is the project located on/in an existing corridor or segment classified as:

Congestion Status	Points Possible
Severely Congested	10 <input type="checkbox"/>
Congested	5 <input type="checkbox"/>
Moderately Congested	2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Not Congested	0 <input type="checkbox"/>

during either the AM or PM peak travel periods?

**Question 2: Congested Corridors**

Is the project located on/in a forecast corridor or segment classified as:

Congestion Status	Points Possible
Severely Congested	10 <input type="checkbox"/>
Congested	5 <input type="checkbox"/>
Moderately Congested	2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Not Congested	0 <input type="checkbox"/>

during either the AM or PM peak travel periods?

**Question 3: Congestion Management Process Compliance**

Using the Congestion Management Process's Mitigation Strategy Toolbox, please select the level(s) of mitigation strategy that have been previously addressed? (check the one that best applies)

**E**

Congestion Mitigation Strategies – Priority Levels	Points
Level 1: Actions that Decrease the need for Trip Making	10 <input type="checkbox"/>
Level 2: Actions that Increase the Use of Transit or Other Modes	9 <input type="checkbox"/>
Level 3: Actions that Increase HOV Use	8 <input type="checkbox"/>
Level 4: Actions that Enhance the Operations and Management of Existing Transportation Facilities and Services	8 <input type="checkbox"/>
Level 5: Actions that Increase Roadway Capacity through Additional Infrastructure	5 <input type="checkbox"/>

**Part 2: Goals Achievement Assessment**

**Goal 1: Transportation System Sustainability**

**Objective**

**C**

**Question 1: Infrastructure Maintenance**

Does the project address major maintenance for aging transportation infrastructure, whether roads, bridges, or transit facilities?

- Severely Deteriorated (pavement management system, weight restrictions, vehicle fleet)  10 points
- Moderately Deteriorated (needs more than routine maintenance)  5 points
- Mildly Deteriorated (routine maintenance is implied)  2 points
- New Infrastructure/Equipment Request  0 points

**C**

**Question 2: Infrastructure Preservation Activities and System Enhancements**

Does the project physically preserve and/or enhance the existing transportation system? Ex. resurfacing, drainage repair, add on-street bike component or adjacent pedestrian facilities, etc.

- Yes  10 points
- No  0 points

Provide a description of how the project preserves and/or enhances the existing transportation system?

**C**

**Question 3: Physical Permanence of Solution**

Does the project physically preserve or maintain an existing transportation facility by managing, mitigating, and/or reducing the physical deterioration of the facility through providing:

- Long-term solution (ex. bridge replacement)  10 points
- Intermediate solution (ex. bridge rehabilitation)  5 points
- Short-term solution (ex. resurfacing, restriping, etc.)  2 points
- New facility  0 points

**Part 2: Goals Achievement Assessment**

**Goal 1: Transportation System Sustainability**

**Objective**

**D**

**Question 4: Security Enhancements**

Does the project address transportation system security?

Examples include, but are not limited to:

- Improved access to a community, facility, primary transportation facility, or transportation services, and community health facility.
- Improved transportation system monitoring i.e. monitoring cameras, sidebar radar, weather stations, and other ITS
- Improved Incident Management Support i.e. towing incentives, ASAP support, etc.

Yes  10 points

No  0 points

Provide a description of how the project addresses transportation system security?

**F**

**Question 5: Transportation System Efficiency**

Will the candidate project incorporate and/or support one or more operations and management system that improves transportation system efficiency? (check all that apply)

\*Note: Selection of any one operations and management strategy will provide the full points for this question.

<b>Operations and Management System Strategies</b>	
Arterial Management System i.e. access management, signal timing, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Emergency Management System	<input type="checkbox"/>
Traffic Incident Management System ex. accident siding, markers, etc..	<input type="checkbox"/>
Traveler Information System	<input type="checkbox"/>
Commercial Vehicle Operations ex. truck climbing lanes, truck restrictions, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Traffic Detection and Surveillance	<input type="checkbox"/>
Travel Demand and/or HOV Management	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Part 2: Goals Achievement Assessment**

**Goal 1: Transportation System Sustainability**

**Objective**

**G**

**Question 6: Conflict Point Reduction**

If the project employs access management strategies that will result in the reduction of conflict points along the project's extent, what percentage of the total conflict points will be eliminated?

Conflict Point Reduction/Mile	Points Possible
10% <	10 <input type="checkbox"/>
5% ≤ 10%	5 <input type="checkbox"/>
< 5%	0 <input type="checkbox"/>

**G**

**Question 7: Existing Safety Concern (General)**

Does the project address a documented safety concern?

Examples include, but are not limited to:

- At-Grade Rail Crossings
- Intersection Alignment
- Roadway Geometric Modifications
- Sight Distance/Stopping Sight Distance
- Pedestrian/Vehicle Conflicts

Yes  10 points

No  0 points

Provide a description of the safety concern that the candidate project addresses?

**H**

**Question 8: System Resilience/Redundancy**

Will the candidate project (check all that apply)

	Points Possible
Provide an additional access point, regardless of travel mode, to an existing neighborhood or community	2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Provide an additional connection to an existing activity center	2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Provide an additional link or enhance an existing link to a parallel travel corridor (Major collector or higher)	2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Provides additional or enhanced access to a public transportation facility or service	2 <input type="checkbox"/>
Increase public transit service frequency and/or service area	2 <input type="checkbox"/>

**Part 2: Goals Achievement Assessment**

**Goal 1: Transportation System Sustainability**

**Objective**

**Question 9: Transportation System Development Focus – Activity Centers**

Is the project located entirely or partially within an existing designated activity center? (see Activity Center Map)

Yes  10 points

No  0 points

**Question 10: Transportation System Development Focus - Regionally Significant Transportation Facilities**

Project is entirely on a regionally significant transportation facility shown on Figure B-1, interacts with, influences the functioning of, or provides benefits to the regionally significant transportation facility either directly or indirectly?

Yes  10 points

No  0 points

Weight: 34

Total Points Earned for Goal:

**Part 2: Goals Achievement Evaluation**

**Goal 2: Transportation System Integration and Connectivity**

**Objective**

**A**

**Question 11: Transportation System Connectivity and Interconnectivity**

Will the candidate project provide or enable a direct connection between two or more transportation facilities, activity centers (regional and/or local), or other important stand alone land uses?

- Yes  10 points
- No  0 points

**A**

**Question 12: Freight Mobility**

The candidate project is located on a roadway that has existing or forecast truck volumes of...

Truck Volume Percentage	Points Possible
20% <	10 <input type="checkbox"/>
10% <20%	5 <input type="checkbox"/>
<10%	2 <input type="checkbox"/>

**A**

**Question 13: Freight Facility Accessibility and Connectivity**

Does the candidate project improve accessibility or address the need to provide a better connection with either an intermodal freight facility or inland port?

- Yes  10 points
- No  0 points

\* Intermodal freight facilities include water ports, airports, rail terminals and truck terminals. Emphasis should be placed connectivity between facilities where freight and/or passengers change travel modes.

**Question 14: Accessibility (Part 1)**

Will the candidate project improve access to services and opportunities?

- Yes  10 points
- No  0 points

**B**

Please provide a description of the how the candidate project will improve access.

Example: Because of the candidate project, an additional 5,000 residents will be within a 30 minute drive of ABC regional activity center.



**Part 2: Goals Achievement Evaluation**

**Goal 2: Transportation System Integration and Connectivity**

**Objective**

**B**

**Question 15: Mobility**

Will the candidate project improve mobility between and within intermodal freight facilities, activity centers, or existing communities?

Yes  10 points

No  0 points

Please provide a description of the how the candidate project will improve mobility.

Example: The project will reduce travel times to ABC regional activity center by 5% during the PM peak travel period.

**F**

**Question 16: Non-Motorized Travel**

Will this project build and/or include a new non-motorized transportation facility i.e. sidewalk, trail, bike lane/route, pedestrian signal, etc.?

Yes  10 points

No  0 points

**G**

**Question 17: Accessibility Evaluation (continued)**

Does the project...

Barrier Elimination (choose only one)	Points Possible
Entirely eliminate a barrier (railway, highway, waterway) by grade separating	5 <input type="checkbox"/>
Entirely eliminate a barrier (railway, highway, waterway) by providing a controlled crossing where one does not currently exist (demonstrate achievement of signal warrant if signal proposed)	5 <input type="checkbox"/>
Make improvements toward eliminating a barrier (railway, highway, waterway)	1 <input type="checkbox"/>

Weight: 34

Total Points Earned for Goal:

**Part 2: Goals Achievement Evaluation**

**Goal 3: Community Driven RTP**

**Objective**

**A**

**Question 18: Linkage to Rational Planning Process – Part 1: Transportation/Land Use Connection**

Is the project identified specifically or in concept within a locally adopted plan document i.e. comprehensive/master plan, neighborhood plan, area plan, revitalization plan, local corridor plan, local transportation plan, Building Communities plan, etc.? (select only 1)

Plan Type	Points Possible	
Adopted Local Comprehensive Plan (includes community and neighborhood plans; excludes site plans)	10	<input type="checkbox"/>
Adopted Local Transportation Plan (includes corridor and mode specific plans i.e. sidewalk, bike/pedestrian etc.)	8	<input type="checkbox"/>
Transportation Operating Agency Plan i.e. Transit Development Program	6	<input type="checkbox"/>
Advance Planning Report i.e. feasibility study	4	<input type="checkbox"/>
Pending plan document (scheduled for adoption)	2	<input type="checkbox"/>
Not in a plan document (skip to question 30)	0	<input type="checkbox"/>

**A**

**Question 19: Linkage to Rational Planning Process – Part 2: Transportation/Land Use Connection**

Does the project support, specifically or in concept, issues and concerns identified within an adopted local comprehensive plans? (select all that apply)

- Yes  10 points
- No  0 points

Please provide a description of the issues and concerns from the adopted plan hat the candidate project addresses?

**Part 2: Goals Achievement Evaluation**

**Goal 3: Community Driven RTP**

**Objective**

**C**

**Question 20: Potential Impacts**

The anticipated environmental document required for this project is:

Document Type	Points Possible	
Programmatic Categorical Exclusion (PCE)	10	<input type="checkbox"/>
Categorical Exclusion	5	<input type="checkbox"/>
Environmental Assessment	2	<input type="checkbox"/>
Environmental Impact Statement	0	<input type="checkbox"/>

**D**

**Question 21: Regional Support**

Does the project's sponsor have demonstrable support for the project?

Supporters	
State Agency	<input type="checkbox"/>
County Government	<input type="checkbox"/>
Local Government (all affected cities/towns)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Local Chamber of Commerce / Merchants Assoc. (all affected cities/towns)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Local Community / Neighborhood Org. (Area Residents)	<input type="checkbox"/>

- 10 points = 3 or more selected
- 5 points = 2 selected
- 2 points = 1 selected
- 0 points = 0 selected

**Weight: 34**

**Total Points Earned for Goal:**

**Project Evaluation - CAPACITY PROJECTS (Existing 2030 LRTP)**

PRIORITY BUCKETS	TOTAL POINTS	CMP and GOALS	PART I - CMP					PART II - GOALS																				MAP ID								
			Congestion Management Process					Goal 1: Transportation System Sustainability										Goal 2: Transportation System Integration and Connectivity						Goal 3: Community Driven RTP												
			OBJECTIVES					E	E	E	Sub-Total	Weight CMP	1C	1D	1F	1G	1H	1I	Sub-Total	Weight Goal 1	2A1	2A2	2B	2F	2G	Sub-Total	Weight Goal 2		3A	3C	3D	Sub-Total	Weight Goal 3			
			Weights on Objectives					100	100	100	300	50	100	100	100	100	100	100	600	17	100	100	100	100	100	100	600		17	100	100	100	300	16		
			QUESTIONS					1	2	3		Weighted sub-Total on CMP	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		Weighted sub-Total on Goal	11	12	13		14	15	16	17		Weighted sub-Total on Goal	18	19
Max. Points of Questions					10	10	10	Sub-Total		10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Sub-Total		10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Sub-Total		10	10	10	10	Sub-Total	
H	63.6	US-280 Access Management, MAP ID 535	10	10	8	280.0	46.67	2	10	2	0	10	10	0	0	10	10	296.7	8.41	0	5	0	0	10	0	0	75.0	2.13	0	0	2	10	120.0	6.40	535	
H	58.4	I-65 from Green Springs Hwy North to 6th Ave. South, Widen 6 to 8 lanes, MAP ID 411	10	10	5	250.0	41.67	5	10	0	0	0	0	0	4	10	10	190.0	5.38	10	5	0	0	10	0	0	175.0	4.96	4	0	0	10	120.0	6.40	411	
H	54.4	I-65 Auxiliary Lane Hoover - From US 31 NB to Alford Avenue	5	10	5	200.0	33.33	10	10	0	0	0	0	10	4	10	10	256.7	7.27	10	5	10	0	10	0	0	225.0	6.38	4	0	2	10	140.0	7.47	657	
H	54.4	I-65 Auxiliary Lanes Homewood/Hoover - From Alford Avenue NB to Lakeshore Parkway/Lakeshore Pkwy SB to Alford Avenue	5	10	5	200.0	33.33	10	10	0	0	0	0	10	4	10	10	256.7	7.27	10	5	10	0	10	0	0	225.0	6.38	4	0	2	10	140.0	7.47	658	
H	54.4	I-65 Auxiliary Lanes Homewood - From Lakeshore Parkway NB to Oxmoor Road/Oxmoor Road SB to Lakeshore Parkway	5	10	5	200.0	33.33	10	10	0	0	0	0	10	4	10	10	256.7	7.27	10	5	10	0	10	0	0	225.0	6.38	4	0	2	10	140.0	7.47	659	
H	54.4	I-65 Auxiliary Lanes Homewood - From Lakeshore Parkway NB to Oxmoor Road/Oxmoor Road SB to Lakeshore Parkway Bridge replacement at Valley Ave	5	10	5	200.0	33.33	10	10	0	0	0	0	10	4	10	10	256.7	7.27	10	5	10	0	10	0	0	225.0	6.38	4	0	2	10	140.0	7.47	659	
H	54.4	I-65 Auxiliary Lanes Birmingham - From Oxmoor Road NB to Greensprings Avenue/Greensprings Road SB to Oxmoor Road	5	10	5	200.0	33.33	10	10	0	0	0	0	10	4	10	10	256.7	7.27	10	5	10	0	10	0	0	225.0	6.38	4	0	2	10	140.0	7.47	660	
H	54.4	I-65 Auxiliary Lanes City Center - From Greensprings Road NB to University Blvd/University Blvd SB to Greensprings Road	5	10	5	200.0	33.33	10	10	0	0	0	0	10	4	10	10	256.7	7.27	10	5	10	0	10	0	0	225.0	6.38	4	0	2	10	140.0	7.47	661	
H	53.9	SR-261 from CR 52 to SR-261 North of Helena, Bypass, MAP ID 78	10	10	5	250.0	41.67	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	10	90.0	2.55	10	2	0	10	10	0	0	210.0	5.95	4	0	0	5	70.0	3.73	78	
H	53.2	Valleydale Rd (CR-17) from I-65 to US 31, widen, MAP ID 83	10	10	5	250.0	41.67	2	10	0	0	0	5	10	0	10	10	215.0	6.09	0	2	0	0	10	0	0	60.0	1.70	0	0	2	5	70.0	3.73	83	
H	52.9	I-65 from US 31(exit 238) North to valleydale Rd (exit 247), Widen 4 to 8 lanes, MAP ID 22	5	10	8	230.0	38.33	5	10	0	10	0	0	0	2	10	10	270.0	7.65	0	5	0	0	10	0	0	75.0	2.13	8	0	0	5	90.0	4.80	22	
H	52.6	I-65 at Valleydale Rd Exit 247, interchange reconfiguration, addition auxiliary lanes from CR-17 to I-459, MAP ID 420	5	10	5	200.0	33.33	5	10	0	0	0	0	10	4	10	10	240.0	6.80	10	5	0	0	10	0	0	175.0	4.96	4	0	2	10	140.0	7.47	420	
H	50.2	I-65 at 16th St Interchange, add NB on-ramp and SB off-ramp, MAP ID 273	5	10	5	200.0	33.33	0	10	10	10	0	0	0	4	0	10	256.7	7.27	10	5	0	10	10	0	0	225.0	6.38	4	0	2	2	60.0	3.20	273	
H	48.8	CR-29/Caldwell Mill Rd from CR-370 to Acton Rd, widen 2 to 3 lanes and bridge replacement, MAP ID 108	5	10	5	200.0	33.33	5	10	5	0	0	0	10	0	0	10	166.7	4.72	0	2	0	0	10	0	0	60.0	1.70	10	0	2	10	170.0	9.07	108	
H	47.1	Morgan Rd from South Shades Crest Rd to SR 261 in Helena, Widen 2 to 5 lanes, MAP ID 365	5	10	5	200.0	33.33	5	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	100.0	2.83	0	2	0	0	10	10	0	160.0	4.53	10	0	2	5	120.0	6.40	365	
H	45.2	SR 119 from Broken Bow to Jefferson/Shelby County Line, widen 2 to 5 lanes MAP ID 34	10	5	5	200.0	33.33	5	10	0	0	0	5	0	0	10	10	175.0	4.96	0	5	0	0	10	0	0	75.0	2.13	4	0	2	5	90.0	4.80	34	
H	44.3	Morgan Rd from I-459 to South Shades Crest, Widen 2 to 4/5 lanes, MAP ID 109	5	10	5	200.0	33.33	5	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	100.0	2.83	0	2	0	0	10	0	0	60.0	1.70	10	0	2	5	120.0	6.40	109	
H	43.8	SR-150 from Morgan Road at Bessemer to I-459, Widen 2 to 5 lanes, MAP ID 183	2	10	5	170.0	28.33	5	10	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	10	150.0	4.25	0	5	0	0	10	0	0	75.0	2.13	10	0	2	10	170.0	9.07	183	
M	41.5	I-65 Acceleration/Deceleration Lanes from CR-112 to Mary Buckelew Pkwy, MAP ID 541	0	10	5	150.0	25.00	0	10	0	10	0	0	0	2	0	10	203.3	5.76	10	2	10	0	10	0	0	210.0	5.95	4	0	5	2	90.0	4.80	541	
M	41.3	SR 261 from US 31 South to Helena, Widen 2 to 5 lanes, MAP ID 141	5	10	5	200.0	33.33	5	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	100.0	2.83	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	10.0	0.28	4	0	2	5	90.0	4.80	141	

**Project Evaluation - CAPACITY PROJECTS (Existing 2030 LRTP)**

PRIORITY BUCKETS	TOTAL POINTS	CMP and GOALS	PART I - CMP					PART II - GOALS																				MAP ID								
			Congestion Management Process					Goal 1: Transportation System Sustainability										Goal 2: Transportation System Integration and Connectivity							Goal 3: Community Driven RTP											
			OBJECTIVES					E	E	E	Sub-Total	Weight CMP	1C	1D	1F	1G	1H	1I	Sub-Total	Weight Goal 1	2A1	2A2	2B	2F	2G	Sub-Total	Weight Goal 2		3A	3C	3D	Sub-Total	Weight Goal 3			
			Weights on Objectives					100	100	100	300	50	100	100	100	100	100	100	600	17	100	100	100	100	100	100	600		17	100	100	100	300	16		
			QUESTIONS					1	2	3		Weighted sub-Total on CMP	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		Weighted sub-Total on Goal	11	12	13		14	15	16	17		Weighted sub-Total on Goal	18	19
Max. Points of Questions					10	10	10	Sub-Total		10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Sub-Total		10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Sub-Total		10	10	10	10	Sub-Total	
M	41.1	CR-17 from Junction SR-261/CR-52 Helena South to CR-12, Widen 2 to 5 lanes, MAP ID 424	5	10	5	200.0	33.33	5	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	100.0	2.83	0	2	0	0	10	0	0	60.0	1.70	4	0	2	2	60.0	3.20	424			
M	40.6	Valleydale Rd from Caldwell Mill Rd to Inverness Center Drive, Widen 2/3 to 4/5 lanes, MAP ID 263	2	10	5	170.0	28.33	2	10	0	0	0	0	0	10	140.0	3.97	0	2	0	0	10	10	0	160.0	4.53	10	0	0	2	70.0	3.73	263			
M	40.2	US 78 from Pratt Hwy/2nd St. to I-59, Widen 4 to 5 or 7 lanes, MAP ID 59	2	10	5	170.0	28.33	5	10	0	0	0	0	0	10	150.0	4.25	0	10	0	0	10	0	0	100.0	2.83	4	0	2	5	90.0	4.80	59			
M	39.4	Shelby CR-11 from US-31 to East Weatherly Entrance, Widen 2 to 3 lanes, resurfacing, MAP ID 120	2	10	5	170.0	28.33	5	10	0	0	0	10	0	0	150.0	4.25	0	2	10	0	10	0	0	110.0	3.12	0	0	2	5	70.0	3.73	120			
M	38.8	I-65 South Additional Lanes and Bridge Widening, from Exit 228 at Calera North to Exit 238 at Alabaster, MAP ID 162	2	10	5	170.0	28.33	5	10	0	0	0	0	2	10	170.0	4.82	0	5	10	0	10	0	0	125.0	3.54	4	0	0	2	40.0	2.13	162			
M	37.7	CR-52 from SR 261 to US 31, Widen 2 to 5 lanes, MAP ID 429	2	10	5	170.0	28.33	5	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	100.0	2.83	0	2	0	0	10	0	0	60.0	1.70	4	0	2	5	90.0	4.80	429			
M	37.5	CR-87 from CR-12 North 0.55 miles, Widen 2 to 4 lanes, intermodal access, MAP ID 434	2	10	5	170.0	28.33	5	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	100.0	2.83	0	2	10	0	10	0	0	110.0	3.12	4	0	2	2	60.0	3.20	434			
M	36.9	I-59/I-20 West from North of Ave I(Exit 119B) to South of Arkadelphia Rd (Exit 123), Widen 8 to 10 Lanes, MAP ID 67	5	5	5	150.0	25.00	5	10	0	0	0	0	0	10	150.0	4.25	0	10	0	0	10	0	0	100.0	2.83	4	0	2	5	90.0	4.80	67			
M	35.0	Lakeshore Parkway from I-65 to Wildwood North, widen 4 to 6 lanes, MAP ID 332	5	2	5	120.0	20.00	5	10	0	0	0	0	0	10	150.0	4.25	0	2	0	0	10	0	0	60.0	1.70	10	0	2	10	170.0	9.07	332			
M	34.7	CR-11 from East Weatherly through CR-52 Intersection to CR-36, Widen 2 to 4 lanes, MAP ID 426	2	10	5	170.0	28.33	5	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	50.0	1.42	0	2	0	0	10	0	0	60.0	1.70	4	0	2	2	60.0	3.20	426			
M	34.7	CR-11 from CR-36 to CR-280, Widen 2 to 4 lanes, MAP ID 427	2	10	5	170.0	28.33	5	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	50.0	1.42	0	2	0	0	10	0	0	60.0	1.70	4	0	2	2	60.0	3.20	427			
M	30.3	Patton Chapel Rd from Crayrich Dr to US-31, widen 2 to 3 lanes, MAP ID 112	0	5	5	100.0	16.67	5	10	0	0	0	0	10	2	0	120.0	3.40	10	2	0	0	0	10	0	210.0	5.95	2	0	2	5	80.0	4.27	112		
M	29.3	SR-79 from North end of 4-lane to 1 mile inside Blount County Line, MAP ID 7	0	5	5	100.0	16.67	5	10	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	150.0	4.25	0	5	10	0	10	0	0	125.0	3.54	4	0	2	5	90.0	4.80	7		
M	28.8	Chapel Lane Extension to Galleria Blvd, new 2-lane 0.75 mile road, MAP ID 345	0	0	5	50.0	8.33	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	6	10	260.0	7.37	10	2	0	10	10	10	0	310.0	8.78	2	0	2	5	80.0	4.27	345		
M	28.7	Corridor X from CR-105 to US 31, new 6-lane roadway, MAP ID 151	0	0	5	50.0	8.33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	10	160.0	4.53	10	5	10	10	10	0	0	275.0	7.79	10	0	0	10	150.0	8.00	151		
M	28.1	Northern Beltline, MAP ID 172	0	0	5	50.0	8.33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	10	160.0	4.53	10	5	10	10	10	0	0	275.0	7.79	8	0	0	10	140.0	7.47	172		
L	27.9	Finley Ave Extension from 26th Street to SR 79, widen 2 to 4, and bridge, MAP ID 84	0	0	5	50.0	8.33	5	10	10	10	0	0	10	6	0	293.3	8.31	10	2	0	10	10	0	0	210.0	5.95	10	0	0	5	100.0	5.33	84		
L	25.4	East Lake Blvd from Vanderbilt Rd to 40th St, Birmingham TOPICS, MAP ID 128	0	0	5	50.0	8.33	5	10	5	0	0	0	10	4	0	206.7	5.86	0	5	0	10	0	0	0	75.0	2.13	10	10	2	5	170.0	9.07	128		
L	25.0	Calera SR-25 Bypass from SR-25 West of Calera to US 31 North of Calera, 4-lane, MAP ID 226	0	0	5	50.0	8.33	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	4	10	190.0	5.38	10	2	0	10	10	0	0	210.0	5.95	10	0	0	5	100.0	5.33	226		
L	24.0	Coalburg Rd from New Sayerton Rd to Corridor X, Widen 2 to 3 lanes, MAP ID 265	0	2	5	70.0	11.67	5	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	100.0	2.83	0	2	10	0	10	0	0	110.0	3.12	10	0	2	5	120.0	6.40	265		
L	24.0	Daniel Payne Dr from Cherry Ave to I-65, add left turn lanes, MAP ID 342	2	0	5	70.0	11.67	5	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	150.0	4.25	0	2	0	0	10	0	0	60.0	1.70	10	0	2	5	120.0	6.40	342		

**Project Evaluation - CAPACITY PROJECTS (Existing 2030 LRTP)**

PRIORITY BUCKETS	TOTAL POINTS	CMP and GOALS	PART I - CMP					PART II - GOALS																			MAP ID									
			Congestion Management Process					Goal 1: Transportation System Sustainability							Goal 2: Transportation System Integration and Connectivity						Goal 3: Community Driven RTP															
		OBJECTIVES					E	E	E	Sub-Total	Weight CMP	1C	1D	1F	1G	1H	1I	Sub-Total	Weight Goal 1	2A1	2A2	2B	2F	2G	Sub-Total	Weight Goal 2		3A	3C	3D	Sub-Total	Weight Goal 3				
		Weights on Objectives					100	100	100	300	50	100	100	100	100	100	100	600	17	100	100	100	100	100	100	600		17	100	100	100	300	16			
		QUESTIONS					1	2	3		Weighted sub-Total on CMP	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		Weighted sub-Total on Goal	11	12	13		14	15	16	17		Weighted sub-Total on Goal	18	19	20
Max. Points of Questions					10	10	10	Sub-Total	Sub-Total on CMP	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Sub-Total	Sub-Total on Goal	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Sub-Total	Sub-Total on Goal				
L	23.5	Tarrant-Huffman Rd from Treadwell Rd to Roebuck Dr, Widen 2 to 3 lanes, MAP ID 129	0	0	5	50.0	8.33	5	10	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	100.0	2.83	0	2	10	0	10	10	0	210.0	5.95	10	0	2	5	120.0	6.40	129	
L	23.5	Tarrant-Huffman Rd from Treadwell Rd to Old Pinson hwy, Widen 2 to 3 lanes, MAP ID 130	0	0	5	50.0	8.33	5	10	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	100.0	2.83	0	2	10	0	10	10	0	210.0	5.95	10	0	2	5	120.0	6.40	130	
L	22.7	CR-26 from US-31 East to SR-70, Widen 2 to 4 lanes, MAP ID 430	0	5	5	100.0	16.67	5	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50.0	1.42	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	50.0	1.42	4	0	2	2	60.0	3.20	430		
L	22.1	SR-70 from US 31 to SR-25 in Columbiana, Widen 2 to 4 lanes, MAP ID 9	2	0	5	70.0	11.67	2	10	0	0	0	0	0	10	10	140.0	3.97	0	2	0	0	10	0	0	60.0	1.70	4	0	2	5	90.0	4.80	9		
L	21.4	Patton Chapel Rd from Chapel Lane to Crayrich Dr, Hoover TOPICS, phase 3, widen 2 to 3 lanes, MAP ID 113	0	0	5	50.0	8.33	5	10	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	100.0	2.83	10	2	0	0	0	10	0	210.0	5.95	2	0	2	5	80.0	4.27	113	
L	20.5	Rex Lake Road, Barber Motor Sports Access, from US-78 to Barber Motor Sports Museum, Widen 2 to 5 lanes, MAP ID 357	0	0	5	50.0	8.33	5	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50.0	1.42	0	2	0	0	10	0	0	60.0	1.70	10	0	2	10	170.0	9.07	357		
L	19.4	CR-22 from SR-119 East to SR-70/US-31, Widen 2 to 5 lanes, MAP ID 436	2	0	5	70.0	11.67	5	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	100.0	2.83	0	2	0	0	10	0	0	60.0	1.70	4	0	2	2	60.0	3.20	436		
L	19.3	Main Street from Tarrant Dr to Redmayne Rd, Gardendale, Widen 2 to 3 lanes, MAP ID 125	0	0	5	50.0	8.33	5	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50.0	1.42	0	2	0	0	0	10	0	110.0	3.12	10	0	2	5	120.0	6.40	125		
L	18.8	US-11 from end of 5-lane facility, East of Chalkville Rd to the Cahaba River Bridge, Widen to 5 lanes, MAP ID 356	0	0	5	50.0	8.33	2	10	0	0	0	0	0	10	10	140.0	3.97	0	2	0	0	10	0	0	60.0	1.70	10	0	2	2	90.0	4.80	356		
L	18.1	Lakeshore Parkway Extension from SR 150 to I-459, MAP ID 114	0	0	5	50.0	8.33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	40.0	1.13	10	2	0	10	10	0	0	210.0	5.95	0	0	0	5	50.0	2.67	114		
L	16.9	Galleria Blvd Extension to South Lorna Road, MAP ID 642	0	0	0	0.0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	10	160.0	4.53	10	2	0	10	10	0	0	210.0	5.95	10	0	2	5	120.0	6.40	642		
L	16.4	Cherry Ave/ Blossburg Rd from Main St in Graysville to Brookville School Rd, widen 2 to 5 lanes, MAP ID 344	0	0	5	50.0	8.33	5	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50.0	1.42	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	10.0	0.28	10	0	2	5	120.0	6.40	344		
L	14.7	CR-26/Kent Dairy Rd from CR-17 to SR 119/Montevallo Rd, Widen 2 to 3 lanes, MAP ID 425	0	0	5	50.0	8.33	5	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50.0	1.42	0	2	0	0	10	0	0	60.0	1.70	4	0	2	2	60.0	3.20	425		

Note: Consist of Congestion Management Process(CMP, 50 Points) and Goals (50 Points)

Total points for CMP and Goals of each project is 100, where CMP has 50 points, goal 1 for 17, goal 2 for 17 and goal 3 for 16. Objectives for each goal have equal weight. Each question has 10 points.

H=High Priority Bucket; M=Middle Priority Bucket; L=Low Priority Bucket